arXiv:cond-mat/9908162v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 11 Aug 1999

A model of marginal Fermi liquid superconductor at two dimensions

Yu-Liang Liu and T. K. Ng

Department of Physics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

Abstract

In this paper we study a model of s-wave marginal Fermi liquid superconductor at two dimensions. Besides the usual Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer(BCS) point attractive interaction, the fermions in our system also interact *via* a long range repulsive interaction $v(q) = g_D/q^2$. We find that although superconductivity is not destroyed by the long-ranged repulsion interaction (demonstrated by the existence of Meissner effect), nevertheless the BCS pairing function Δ_{BCS} is strongly suppressed resulting in power-law correlation $< \Delta_{BCS}(\vec{x})\Delta_{BCS}(0) > \sim |\vec{x}|^{-2\gamma}$. This observation is explained within a general picture of marginal Fermi liquid.

71.10.Hf,74.20.-z,74.25.-q

Typeset using REVTEX

Since the discovery of high-T_c superconductors, there has been enormous interests in the study of non-Fermi liquid theories in two dimensions [1–3]. The normal state of high-T_c superconductors shows rich physical behaviors which seems to deviate from usual Landau Fermi liquid theory predictions. For example, in the optimally doped regime, resistivity shows a linear temperature dependence, whereas in underdoped regime, the resistivity shows a power-law temperature dependence, and with a pseudo-gap in low energy excitation spectrum. Although there exists a lot of theoretical studies on microscopic theories of non-Fermi liquid normal states, at present there exists very few systematic investigation into how the superconducting state is affected by non-Fermi liquid behaviours.

In this paper we study a microscopic model of s-wave marginal Fermi liquid superconductor at two dimensions. The Hamiltonian of our model consists of two parts, $H = H_{mfl} + H_{BCS}$, where

$$H_{mfl} = \sum_{\vec{k},\sigma} \epsilon(k)\psi^\dagger_\sigma(\vec{k})\psi_\sigma(\vec{k}) + \frac{1}{2L^2}\sum_{|\vec{q}|\leq q_c} v(q)\rho(\vec{q})\rho(-\vec{q}), \tag{1a}$$

and

$$H_{BCS} = -\int d^2x[\Delta(\vec{x},t)\psi^\dagger_\downarrow(\vec{x},t)\psi^\dagger_\uparrow(\vec{x},t) + \Delta^*(\vec{x},t)\psi_\uparrow(\vec{x},t)\psi_\downarrow(\vec{x},t) - \frac{1}{U}|\Delta(\vec{x},t)|^2] \tag{1b}$$

where $\epsilon(k) = \frac{k^2}{2m} - \mu$, $\rho(\vec{x}) = \sum_\sigma \psi^\dagger_\sigma(\vec{x})\psi_\sigma(\vec{x})$ is the electron density operator, $\psi_\sigma(\vec{x})(\psi^\dagger_\sigma(\vec{x}))$ are electronic annihilation (creation) operators with spin $\sigma =\uparrow,\ \downarrow$, L^2 is the volume of the system, $q_c << k_F$ is a high momentum cutoff, $v(q) = g_D/q^2$, and $\Delta(\vec{x},t)(\Delta^*(\vec{x},t))$ are Hubbard-Stratonovich fields introduced to decouple the (attractive) electron-electron interaction U. U is a coupling constant of an unknown mechanism which leads to electron pairing. Note that in usual BCS mean-field theory, $\Delta(\vec{x},t) \to \Delta_{BCS} = -U < \psi_\uparrow(\vec{x})\psi_\downarrow(\vec{x}) >$, where Δ_{BCS} is the mean-field BCS gap. The repulsive interaction potential $v(q)$ among the electrons may originate from an U(1) gauge field such as in a t-J model [3,4], or a vortex-vortex interaction where each vortex is combined to one electron [5].

The Hamiltonian H_{mfl} has been carefully studied [6] where it is known that it describes a state with Fermi-liquid like particle-hole and collective excitation spectrums, but with

nevertheless a vanishing Fermi surface discontinuity $z \to 0$ [6] because of orthogonality catastrophe effect associated with induced long-ranged density fluctuations when an additional electron is introduced [6] (marginal Fermi liquid behaviour). Notice that in the absence of interaction term $v(q)$, the system would be a regular s-wave superconductor at two dimensions, described by a constant pairing function Δ_{BCS} in mean-field theory. Here we shall examine whether superconductivity is destroyed by the marginal Fermi liquid behaviour, and if it survives, whether and how its behaviour will be modified. Note that even though it is believed that in the high-T_c cuprates the electron pairing function has a d-wave symmetry, interesting conclusions may still be drawn from studying this simple model as we shall see in the following.

To solve this model Hamiltonian we first introduce another Hubbard-Stratonovich field $\phi(q,t)$ to decouple the repulsive electron-electron interaction. In terms of ϕ and Δ fields, the generating functional of the system can be written as,

$$Z = \int D\Psi D\Psi^\dagger D\phi e^{i\int_{-T}^{T} dt[\frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{|\vec{q}|\le q_c}\frac{\phi(\vec{q},t)\phi(-\vec{q},t)}{2v(q)} + \mathcal{L}(t)]}, \tag{2a}$$

where $T \to \infty$,

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \int d^2x \Psi^\dagger(\vec{x},t)\hat{G}^{-1}(\vec{x},t,[\phi])\Psi(\vec{x},t), \tag{2b}$$

and

$$\hat{G}^{-1}(\vec{x},t,[\phi]) = i\partial_t + (\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + \mu)\sigma^z - \tilde{\Delta}(\vec{x},t) - \tilde{\phi}(\vec{x},t), \tag{2c}$$

where σ^z is a Pauli matrix, $\Psi(\vec{x},t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow(\vec{x},t) \\ \psi_\downarrow^\dagger(\vec{x},t) \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{x},t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & \Delta(\vec{x},t) \\ \Delta^*(\vec{x},t), & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\tilde{\phi}(\vec{x},t) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(\vec{x},t), & 0 \\ 0, & -\phi(\vec{x},t) \end{pmatrix}$. Note that the Lagrangian is now quadratic in electron fields after the HS bosonic fields $\Delta(\vec{x},t)$ and $\phi(\vec{x},t)$ are introduced.

We shall solve the problem in two steps: (1)for each configuration of $\phi(\vec{x},t)$ field, we first solve the self-consistent BCS mean-field equations

$$\hat{G}^{-1}(\vec{x},t,[\phi])G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t',[\phi]) = \delta(\vec{x}-\vec{x}')\delta(t-t'), \tag{3}$$
$$\Delta(\vec{x},t;[\phi]) = -U < \psi_\uparrow(\vec{x},t;[\phi])\psi_\downarrow(\vec{x},t;[\phi]) >_0,$$

approximately using an Eikonal expansion [7,8] or functional bosonization [9] approach, where $< A >_0$ is the expectation value of operator A at fixed configuration $\phi(\vec{x},t)$, and (2)with the approximate Green's function $G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t';[\phi])$ obtained for each configuration $\phi(\vec{x},t)$, we can construct an approximate action $S_{eff}[\phi]$ in terms of $\phi(\vec{x},t)$ field only in the Eikonal expansion. The ground state expression value $< G(\vec{x},t) >$ and other higher-order correlation functions can be evaluated as

$$< G(\vec{x}-\vec{x}',t-t') >=< G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t';[\phi] >_\phi = \frac{\int d\phi G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t';[\phi])e^{-S_{eff}[\phi]}}{\int d\phi e^{-S_{eff}[\phi]}}, \tag{4}$$

etc. Notice that Eikonal expansion can be used as a systematic expansion technique in powers of q_c/k_F [7,8] for fermions interacting with scalar potential $v(q)$. In particular, the marginal Fermi liquid behaviour can be recovered correctly to leading order in Eikonal expansion [7,8,10]. The new ingredient we introduce here is to include the BCS mean-field theory in a self-consistent way into the formalism. In the following we shall give some brief mathematical outlines on how the Eikonal expansion is carried out. First we review the case when the BCS term is absent (normal marginal Fermi liquid).

In this case the matrix Green's function $G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t';[\phi])$ becomes a number function. In the Eikonal expansion, we write G as [9,10]

$$G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t';[\phi]) = \frac{1}{TL^2}\sum_{\vec{k},\omega} g_0(\vec{k},\omega)e^{-i[\omega t-\vec{k}.\vec{x}+Q_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x},t;[\phi])]}e^{i[\omega t'-\vec{k}.\vec{x}'+Q_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}',t';[\phi])]}, \tag{5}$$

where $g_0(\vec{k},\omega) = (\omega-\epsilon(k))^{-1}$, $\epsilon(k) = k^2/(2m)-\mu$, and the function $Q_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x},t;[\phi])$ is obtained approximately in an Eikonal expansion. To leading order in q_c/k_F we obtain

$$Q_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x},t;[\phi]) = -\frac{1}{TL^2}\sum_{|\vec{q}|<q_c,\omega} e^{i(\omega t-\vec{q}.\vec{x})}\frac{\phi(\vec{q},\omega)}{\omega-\frac{\vec{k}.\vec{q}}{m}}.$$

For the special case of a $1/q^2$ potential in two dimension, it turns out that the infra-red singularity which leads to marginal-Fermi liquid behaviour comes from plasma oscillations

with energy $\omega \sim \Omega_0 = \sqrt{g_D \rho_0/m}$, where ρ_0 is the electron density [6,10]. As a result one may neglect the $\vec{q}.\vec{k}/m$ term in $Q_{\vec{k}}$ as far as the leading infra-red singularity is concerned, and we obtain

$$G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t';[\phi]) = G_0(\vec{x}-\vec{x}',t-t')e^{i(Q(\vec{x},t)-Q(\vec{x}',t'))}, \tag{6}$$

where $Q(\vec{x},t) \sim -\frac{1}{TL^2}\sum_{\vec{q},\omega} e^{i(\omega t-\vec{q}.\vec{x})}\frac{\phi(\vec{q},\omega)}{\omega}$, $G_0(\vec{x},t)$ is the usual free fermion Green's function. An Eikonal expansion can also be performed for the effective action $S_{eff}(\phi)$. To leading order in q_c/k_F, $S_{eff}[\phi]$ is just the RPA effective action

$$S_{eff}[\phi] = \frac{1}{2TL^2}\sum_{q<q_c,\omega} |\phi(\vec{q},\omega)|^2[v(q)^{-1} - \chi_0(q,\omega)],$$

where $\chi_0(q,\omega)$ is the usual Linhard function. This result can be understood by noting that (i)higher order terms in power of ϕ involves sums over extra momentum $q < q_c$, and generate higher powers in q_c/k_F, and (ii)the singular behaviour at small q which is present in quadratic term will be absent in higher order terms. Notice also that the marginal Fermi liquid behaviour originates from electron-plasmon coupling in the present model [6]. Therefore, as far as the marginal Fermi liquid behaviour is concerned, the effective action may be further simplified by keeping only the plasma-pole contributions [6] to $S_{eff}[\phi]$. This is in contrast to many other models of marginal Fermi liquids where the marginal Fermi liquid behaviour is generated from coupling of electrons to anomalous low energy particle-hole excitations [2,3].

With Eq. (6), it is easy to show that the corresponding matrix Green's function in the presence of BCS attractive interaction which keeps the leading infra-red singularity has form,

$$G(\vec{x},\vec{x}',t,t';[\phi]) = \begin{pmatrix} G_0^{BCS}e^{i(Q(\vec{x},t)-Q(\vec{x}',t'))}, & F_0^{BCS}e^{i(Q(\vec{x},t)+Q(\vec{x}',t'))} \\ F_0^{BCS*}e^{-i(Q(\vec{x},t)+Q(\vec{x}',t'))}, & G_0^{BCS*}e^{-i(Q(\vec{x},t)-Q(\vec{x}',t'))} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

and with corresponding pairing function

$$\Delta(\vec{x},t;[\phi]) = \frac{U\Delta}{2L^2}\sum_{\vec{k}}\frac{1}{E(k)}e^{-2iQ(\vec{x},t)} \tag{8}$$

where $G_0^{BCS} \equiv G_0^{BCS}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}', t-t')$ and $F_0^{BCS} \equiv F_0^{BCS}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}', t-t')$ are the usual BCS normal and anomalous Green's functions in the absence of ϕ field, respectively, with usual Fourier transform,

$$G_0^{BCS}(\vec{k}, i\omega) = \frac{i\omega + \epsilon(k)}{(i\omega)^2 - E(k)^2}, \qquad (9)$$
$$F_0^{BCS}(\vec{k}, i\omega) = \frac{-\Delta}{(i\omega)^2 - E(k)^2},$$

where $E(k) = \Delta^2 + \epsilon(k)^2$, Δ is determined by the usual BCS mean-field equation $1 = \frac{U}{2L^2}\sum_k \frac{1}{E_k}$ (see (8)). We shall assume $\Delta << \Omega_0$ in the following discussions.

As in the normal state, to leading order in q_c/k_F, the effective action $S_{eff}[\phi]$ of the system in the presence of BCS attractive interaction is the quadratic action of superconductors for scalar potentials,

$$S_{eff}[\phi] = \frac{1}{TL^d}\sum_{q\leq|q_c|,\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2v(q)} - \chi_0(q,\Omega) + \Gamma(q,\Omega)\right]|\phi(q,\Omega)|^2$$
$$\Gamma(q,\Omega) = \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_k \frac{4\Delta u_k v_k \xi_q^2 \theta(-\epsilon(k))}{(\Omega^2 - \varepsilon_k^2(q))^2 + 4\Delta^2\xi_q^2} \qquad (10)$$
$$\chi_0(q,\Omega) = \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_k \frac{\xi_q\theta(-\epsilon(k))}{(\Omega^2 - \varepsilon_k^2(q))^2 + 4\Delta^2\xi_q^2}[(\Omega + \varepsilon_k(q))^2 - 2u_k^2(\Omega^2 + \varepsilon_k^2(q))].$$

where $\varepsilon_k(q) = \vec{k}\cdot\vec{q}/m$, $\xi_q = q^2/(2m)$, $u_k^2 = (1 + \epsilon(k)/E(k))/2$, $v_k^2 = (1 - \epsilon(k)/E(k))/2$, and $\theta(x)$ is the step function. In the long wave-length limit $q \to 0$, $\chi_0(q,\Omega)$ and $\Gamma(q,\Omega)$ are reduced to $\chi_0(q\to 0,\Omega) \simeq \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{2m}(\frac{q}{\Omega})^2$, and $\Gamma(q\to 0,\Omega) \simeq \frac{\Delta^2}{m^2U}(\frac{q}{\Omega})^4 \sim 0$, where $\rho(\Delta) = \frac{2}{L^2}\sum_k v_k^2 = \rho_0$. For a $v(q) = g_D/q^2$ potential, the effective action becomes in the limit $q \to 0$ and $\Omega >> k_F q/m$,

$$S_{eff.}[\phi] = \frac{1}{TL^2}\sum_{q,\Omega}\frac{1}{2v(q)}\left(1 - \frac{\Omega_0^2}{\Omega^2}\right)|\phi(q,\Omega)|^2 \qquad (11)$$

where $\Omega_0 = \sqrt{g_D\rho_0/m}$ is the plasma frequency. Notice that as far as plasma oscillation is concerned, the effective action $S_{eff}[\phi]$ is unaffected by presence of supeconductivity. This is a direct manifestation of Higg's mechanism.

Using the effective action (11), we can calculate the thermodynamical average and correlation function of the pairing function and the normal and anomalous Green's functions by using their expressions in (7) and (8), respectively. We obtain

$$< Q(\vec{x},t)Q(\vec{x}',t') >_\phi \simeq \begin{cases} -\frac{\gamma}{4}\ln|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|, & |t-t'| \ll \frac{1}{\Omega_0}, \\ \frac{\gamma}{4}\ln(\frac{1}{q_c L}), & |t-t'| \gg \frac{1}{\Omega_0}, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{g_D}{2\pi\Omega_0}$, and correspondingly,

$$\Delta_{BCS} =< \Delta(\vec{x},t,[\phi]) >_\phi \simeq \Delta\left(\frac{1}{q_c L}\right)^\gamma, \tag{13}$$

$$< \Delta(\vec{x},t,[\phi])\Delta^*(\vec{x}',t,[\phi]) >_\phi \simeq \Delta^2\left(\frac{1}{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}\right)^{2\gamma},$$

$$< \Delta(\vec{x},t,[\phi])\Delta^*(\vec{x}',t',[\phi]) >_\phi \simeq \Delta^2\left(\frac{1}{q_c L}\right)^{2\gamma}, \quad |t-t'| \to \infty.$$

Notice that as the size of the system $L^2 \to \infty$, the pairing function Δ_{BCS} goes to zero because of the long range interaction potential $v(q)$, and its (equal-time) correlation function shows a power-law behavior! The normal electron Green's function can also be calculated similarly. We obtain

$$G(\vec{x}-\vec{x}',t-t') \simeq G_0^{BCS}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}',t-t') \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}\right)^\gamma, & t \sim t', \\ \left(\frac{1}{q_c L}\right)^\gamma, & |t-t'| >> \frac{1}{\Omega_0}, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Notice that a similar expression of the one-electron Green's function is also obtained in the normal state with G_0^{BCS} replaced by the usual free fermion Green's function.

There are two effects to be noticed. First of all, we find that the BCS pairing function is strongly suppressed by the long-range interaction potential, which seems to indicate that superconductivity is suppressed. Secondly, the one-electron Green's function is strongly suppressed by a term $(q_c L)^{-\gamma}$ at frequency $\omega < \Omega_0$, resulting in a 'pseudo-gap' like structure in the tunneling density of state for $\omega < \Omega_0$, both in the normal state [6] and superconductivity state. Physically, this 'pseudo-gap' like structure indicates that in the presence of long-range repulsive interaction, it is essentially impossible to add (or subtract) an electron without creating density fluctuations in the system [6]. Notice, however that although the differences between superconducting and normal states in the normal and anomalous one-electron Green's functions are strongly suppressed by $v(q)$ and are hardly visible when $\Delta << \Omega_0$, nevertheless an order parameter Δ determined by the BCS mean-field equation

still exists. We shall look into the meaning of nonzero Δ more carefully by examining directly the Meissner effect in the following.

Following standard linear-response theory analysis, we find in the Coulomb gauge

$$\vec{J}(\vec{x},t) = \int d^2x' P(\vec{x}-\vec{x}', t-t')\vec{A}(\vec{x}', t') - \frac{\rho_0}{m}\vec{A}(\vec{x}.t) \tag{15}$$

where $\vec{A}(\vec{x},t)$ is the external (transverse) gauge field and $\vec{J}(\vec{x},t)$ is the corresponding induced current. The response function $P(\vec{x},t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P(\vec{x}-\vec{x}', t-t') &= < P(\vec{x},\vec{x}', t, t'; [\phi]) >_\phi, \\ P(\vec{x},\vec{x}', t, t'; [\phi]) &= \frac{-i}{m^2} Tr\left[(\nabla_x.\nabla_{x'})G(\vec{x},\vec{x}', t, t'; [\phi])G(\vec{x}', \vec{x}, t', t; [\phi])\right], \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $(\nabla_x.\nabla_{x'})G_1G_2 = (\nabla_x G_1).(\nabla_{x'}G_2)+(\nabla_{x'}G_1).(\nabla_x G_2)-(\nabla_x.\nabla_{x'}G_1)G_2-G_1(\nabla_x.\nabla_{x'}G_2)$. Using Eq.(7) and the fact that $\nabla Q(\vec{x},t)$ introduces extra power of q in Q and is thus higher order in q_c/k_F, we find that to leading order in q_c/k_F, the $Q(\vec{x},t)$ factor does not contribute and $P(\vec{x},t)$ is given by the usual BCS expression. In particular, the fourier transform $P(\vec{q}\to 0, \omega = 0)$ is given by

$$P(q\to 0, 0) = \frac{1}{L^2}\sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{E(k)E(k') - \epsilon(k)\epsilon(k') - \Delta^2}{4E(k)^3} k^2|_{\vec{k}'\to\vec{k}},$$

which vanishes when $\Delta \neq 0$ indicating that the system exhibits Meissner effect as in usual BCS superconductors.

The apparent contradiction between existence of Meissner effect and vanishing of BCS order parameter $\Delta_{BCS} \to 0$ can be understood in the marginal Fermi liquid picture if we assume that the pairing amplitude of *quasi-particles* $\Delta_{qp} = \Delta$ is nonzero in the ground state. The bare fermion pairing amplitude is given by the usual Fermi liquid relation $\Delta_{BCS} \sim z\Delta_{qs}$ which is nonzero for usual Fermi liquids with renormalization factor $z \neq 0$. However, $z \sim (q_c L)^{-\gamma}$ and vanishes in the $L\to\infty$ limit in the present case and $\Delta_{BCS}\to 0$. Nevertheless superconductivity still exists because $\Delta_{qp} \neq 0$! Notice that this is a rather general consequence of a marginal Fermi liquid superconducting state where pairing occurs between quasi-particles. In particular, the argument is completely independent of the symmetry of

the superconducting order parameters. Notice that quantum Monte-Carlo studies on $t-J$ model have indicated that the pairing function of electrons Δ_{BCS} seems to vanish as the size of the system increases [11]. This result was interpreted as indicating the absence of superconductivity in $t-J$ model [11]. Our finding here suggests an alternative possibility: superconductivity may still exists in $t-J$ model if the pairing quasi-particles have vanishing wavefunction overlap with bare electrons in the system.

Summarizing, we have studied in this paper the influence of long range repulsive electron-electron interaction on an s-wave superconductor at two dimensions. We find that the bare electron BCS pairing function Δ_{BCS} is strongly suppressed by the plasma oscillation, with however superconductivity (Meissner effect) kept intact. We interpret the system as a marginal Fermi liquid superconductor where the pairing objects are quasi-particles with vanishing wavefunction overlap $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with bare electrons in the system. In particular, we point out that this situation might have occurred in the $t-J$ model where the (d-wave) bare electron pairing function seems to vanish when size of system increases [11]. We also point out that a pseudo-gap like structure in both the normal and superconducting states appears in the tunneling density of states of bare electrons, as a result of the vanishing wavefunction overlap $z \to 0$. An important property of our microscopic model is that the marginal Fermi liquid effect comes from coupling of electrons to (high energy) plasmons and is not destroyed by superconductivity in contrast with many other models [2,3]. The anomalous behaviour we observed in supeconducting state is a direct consequence of survival of marginal Fermi liquid effect in the superconducting state and our calculation demonstrates explicitly the existence of this new class of marginal Fermi liquid superconductors.

This work is supported by HKUGC through RGC grant HKUST6143/97P.

REFERENCES

[1] P.W.Anderson, Science **273**, 1196(1987); Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1220(1993).

[2] C.M.Varma *et.al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1986(1989); C.M.Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 898(1995).

[3] N.Nagaosa, and P.A.Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2450(1990); X.G.Wen, and P.A.Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 503(1996).

[4] L.B.Ioffe, and A.I.Larkin, Phys. Rev. **B**39, 8988(1989).

[5] L.Balents, M.P.A.Fisher, and C.Nayak, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B**12, 1033(1998); cond-mat/9811236.

[6] P.A.Bare, and X.G.Wen, Phys. Rev. **B**48, 8636(1993).

[7] C.Castellani, C.D.Castro, and W.Metzner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 316(1994); C.Castellani, and C.D.Castro, Physica **C**235-240, 99(1994).

[8] P.Kopietz, and G.E.Castilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4777(1996); E.S.Fradkin, Nucl. Phys. **76**, 588(1966).

[9] D.K.K.Lee, and Y.Chen, J. Phys. **A**21, 4155(1988).

[10] T.K.Ng, preprint.

[11] C.T.Shih, Y.C.Chen, H.Q.Lin and T.K.Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1294(1998).